

Maximal Commutative Schur rings

Stephen Humphries, Kenneth Johnson, Andrew Misseldine
Brigham Young University, Penn State, Southern Utah University

Symmetry vs Regularity 2018

Schur rings

For a group G and finite $X \subseteq G$, we let $\bar{X} = \sum_{x \in X} x \in \mathbb{C}G$.
We also let $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$.

Schur rings

For a group G and finite $X \subseteq G$, we let $\bar{X} = \sum_{x \in X} x \in \mathbb{C}G$.
We also let $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$.

A Schur-ring (or *S-ring*) over a group G is a sub-ring \mathfrak{S} of $\mathbb{C}G$ that is constructed from a partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots\}$ of the elements of G by finite sets with $\Gamma_1 = \{id\}$, satisfying:

Schur rings

For a group G and finite $X \subseteq G$, we let $\bar{X} = \sum_{x \in X} x \in \mathbb{C}G$.
We also let $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$.

A Schur-ring (or S -ring) over a group G is a sub-ring \mathfrak{S} of $\mathbb{C}G$ that is constructed from a partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots\}$ of the elements of G by finite sets with $\Gamma_1 = \{id\}$, satisfying:

(1) if $1 \leq i \leq m$, then there is some $j \geq 1$ such that $\Gamma_i^{-1} = \Gamma_j$;

Schur rings

For a group G and finite $X \subseteq G$, we let $\bar{X} = \sum_{x \in X} x \in \mathbb{C}G$.
We also let $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$.

A Schur-ring (or S -ring) over a group G is a sub-ring \mathfrak{S} of $\mathbb{C}G$ that is constructed from a partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots\}$ of the elements of G by finite sets with $\Gamma_1 = \{id\}$, satisfying:

- (1) if $1 \leq i \leq m$, then there is some $j \geq 1$ such that $\Gamma_i^{-1} = \Gamma_j$;
- (2) if $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, then

$$\bar{\Gamma}_i \bar{\Gamma}_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{ijk} \bar{\Gamma}_k,$$

where $\lambda_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ for all i, j, k .

Schur rings

For a group G and finite $X \subseteq G$, we let $\bar{X} = \sum_{x \in X} x \in \mathbb{C}G$.
We also let $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$.

A Schur-ring (or *S-ring*) over a group G is a sub-ring \mathfrak{S} of $\mathbb{C}G$ that is constructed from a partition $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots\}$ of the elements of G by finite sets with $\Gamma_1 = \{id\}$, satisfying:

- (1) if $1 \leq i \leq m$, then there is some $j \geq 1$ such that $\Gamma_i^{-1} = \Gamma_j$;
- (2) if $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, then

$$\bar{\Gamma}_i \bar{\Gamma}_j = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{ijk} \bar{\Gamma}_k,$$

where $\lambda_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ for all i, j, k .

The Γ_i are called the *principal sets* of the S-ring.

Basic result

The maximal dimension of a commutative S -ring over G is

$$s_G := \sum_{i=1}^r d_i,$$

where d_1, \dots, d_r are the irreducible character degrees of G .

Basic result

The maximal dimension of a commutative S -ring over G is

$$s_G := \sum_{i=1}^r d_i,$$

where d_1, \dots, d_r are the irreducible character degrees of G .

We say that the finite group G is *S -realizable* if there is a commutative S -ring \mathfrak{S} over G of dimension s_G .

We will also say that \mathfrak{S} *realizes* s_G .

Some motivation

Random walks.

Some motivation

Random walks.

Probabilities that are constant on the principal sets of some commutative S -ring. Maximal S -rings give fissions of the centralizer ring $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$.

Some motivation

Random walks.

Probabilities that are constant on the principal sets of some commutative S -ring. Maximal S -rings give fissions of the centralizer ring $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$.

Use: diagonalization.

Result for $SL(2, 2^n)$ and metacyclic groups

We give examples of families of finite groups that are S -realizable. For example we have:

Result for $SL(2, 2^n)$ and metacyclic groups

We give examples of families of finite groups that are S -realizable. For example we have:

Theorem

The groups $SL(2, 2^n)$, $n \geq 1$, are S -realizable.

Result for $SL(2, 2^n)$ and metacyclic groups

We give examples of families of finite groups that are S -realizable. For example we have:

Theorem

The groups $SL(2, 2^n)$, $n \geq 1$, are S -realizable.

Metacyclic groups: have a cyclic normal subgroup N such that G/N is cyclic:

Result for $SL(2, 2^n)$ and metacyclic groups

We give examples of families of finite groups that are S -realizable. For example we have:

Theorem

The groups $SL(2, 2^n)$, $n \geq 1$, are S -realizable.

Metacyclic groups: have a cyclic normal subgroup N such that G/N is cyclic:

Theorem

Every finite metacyclic group G is S -realizable.

Result for Amitsur groups

Amitsur determines all groups whose irreducible characters have degrees bounded by 2. These are:

Result for Amitsur groups

Amitsur determines all groups whose irreducible characters have degrees bounded by 2. These are:

(1) Abelian groups.

Result for Amitsur groups

Amitsur determines all groups whose irreducible characters have degrees bounded by 2. These are:

- (1) Abelian groups.
- (2) Groups G with a normal abelian subgroup of index 2.

Result for Amitsur groups

Amitsur determines all groups whose irreducible characters have degrees bounded by 2. These are:

- (1) Abelian groups.
- (2) Groups G with a normal abelian subgroup of index 2.
- (3) Groups G such that $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$.

Result for Amitsur groups

Amitsur determines all groups whose irreducible characters have degrees bounded by 2. These are:

- (1) Abelian groups.
- (2) Groups G with a normal abelian subgroup of index 2.
- (3) Groups G such that $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$.

We call these *Amitsur groups* (of types (1), (2), (3)).

Result for Amitsur groups

Amitsur determines all groups whose irreducible characters have degrees bounded by 2. These are:

- (1) Abelian groups.
- (2) Groups G with a normal abelian subgroup of index 2.
- (3) Groups G such that $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$.

We call these *Amitsur groups* (of types (1), (2), (3)).

Theorem

- (i) *The Amitsur groups of type (1), (2) are S -realizable.*
- (ii) *The Amitsur groups of type (3), but not of type (2), are not S -realizable.*

Result for Amitsur groups

Amitsur determines all groups whose irreducible characters have degrees bounded by 2. These are:

- (1) Abelian groups.
- (2) Groups G with a normal abelian subgroup of index 2.
- (3) Groups G such that $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3$.

We call these *Amitsur groups* (of types (1), (2), (3)).

Theorem

- (i) *The Amitsur groups of type (1), (2) are S -realizable.*
- (ii) *The Amitsur groups of type (3), but not of type (2), are not S -realizable.*

We generalize the above result (to the situation where 2 is replaced by a prime $p > 2$).

Examples for small groups

If G is a group and H is a finite subgroup, then the orbits for the conjugation action of H on G partition G .

These orbits determine an S -ring that we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$.

Examples for small groups

If G is a group and H is a finite subgroup, then the orbits for the conjugation action of H on G partition G .

These orbits determine an S -ring that we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$.

A computer calculation shows that for all groups G of order less than 54 there is a subgroup H such that the S -ring $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .

Examples:

Examples for small groups

If G is a group and H is a finite subgroup, then the orbits for the conjugation action of H on G partition G .

These orbits determine an S -ring that we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$.

A computer calculation shows that for all groups G of order less than 54 there is a subgroup H such that the S -ring $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .

Examples:

1. For $G = A_4$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .

Examples for small groups

If G is a group and H is a finite subgroup, then the orbits for the conjugation action of H on G partition G .

These orbits determine an S -ring that we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$.

A computer calculation shows that for all groups G of order less than 54 there is a subgroup H such that the S -ring $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .

Examples:

1. For $G = A_4$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .
2. For $G = S_4$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .

Examples for small groups

If G is a group and H is a finite subgroup, then the orbits for the conjugation action of H on G partition G .

These orbits determine an S -ring that we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$.

A computer calculation shows that for all groups G of order less than 54 there is a subgroup H such that the S -ring $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .

Examples:

1. For $G = A_4$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .
2. For $G = S_4$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .
3. For $G = A_5$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .

Examples for small groups

If G is a group and H is a finite subgroup, then the orbits for the conjugation action of H on G partition G .

These orbits determine an S -ring that we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$.

A computer calculation shows that for all groups G of order less than 54 there is a subgroup H such that the S -ring $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .

Examples:

1. For $G = A_4$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .
2. For $G = S_4$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .
3. For $G = A_5$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .
4. For $G = S_5$ we take $H = \langle (1, 2, 3), (4, 5) \rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ realizes s_G .

|

Frobenius groups and quotients

We also have:

Theorem

Let G be a Frobenius group with Frobenius complement H and abelian Frobenius kernel. Then G is S -realizable if and only if H is S -realizable.

Frobenius groups and quotients

We also have:

Theorem

Let G be a Frobenius group with Frobenius complement H and abelian Frobenius kernel. Then G is S -realizable if and only if H is S -realizable.

We note that S -realizability is inherited by quotients:

Theorem

Let G be a finite group which is S -realizable and let $H \trianglelefteq G$. Then G/H is S -realizable.

Group determinant

For a finite group $G = \{g_1 = 1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$, and independent commuting variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , $x_i = x_{g_i}$, the *group matrix* X_G of G is the $n \times n$ matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the group elements, where the (i, j) entry is x_k if $g_i g_j^{-1} = g_k$. The *group determinant* is $\Theta_G = \det X_G$.

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{S} be an S -ring on a finite group G and let $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^t$ be the principal sets of \mathfrak{S} . Suppose that each variable x_g in X_G is set equal to the variable x_{C_i} , where $g \in C_i$, to obtain the matrix $X_G^{\mathfrak{S}}$. Let $\Theta_G^{\mathfrak{S}} = \det X_G^{\mathfrak{S}}$.

Then $\Theta_G^{\mathfrak{S}}$ factors into linear factors (over \mathbb{C}) if and only if \mathfrak{S} is commutative.

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Theorem

If $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is commutative and realizes s_G , then H is abelian.

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Proof: Let $H \leq G$.

Let χ_1, \dots, χ_r be the irreducible characters of G .

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s$ be the irreducible characters of H .

Let E_1, \dots, E_t be the H -conjugacy classes.

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Proof: Let $H \leq G$.

Let χ_1, \dots, χ_r be the irreducible characters of G .

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s$ be the irreducible characters of H .

Let E_1, \dots, E_t be the H -conjugacy classes.

Karlov/Wigner: Suppose that $\chi_j|_H = \sum_{i=1}^s c_{ij}\varphi_i$. Then $\sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^2 = t$.

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Proof: Let $H \leq G$.

Let χ_1, \dots, χ_r be the irreducible characters of G .

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s$ be the irreducible characters of H .

Let E_1, \dots, E_t be the H -conjugacy classes.

Karlov/Wigner: Suppose that $\chi_j|_H = \sum_{i=1}^s c_{ij}\varphi_i$. Then $\sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^2 = t$.

So $\chi_j(1) = \sum_{i=1}^s c_{ij}\varphi_i(1)$, and

$$s_G = \sum_{j=1}^r \chi_j(1) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}\varphi_i(1).$$

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Proof: Let $H \leq G$.

Let χ_1, \dots, χ_r be the irreducible characters of G .

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_s$ be the irreducible characters of H .

Let E_1, \dots, E_t be the H -conjugacy classes.

Karlov/Wigner: Suppose that $\chi_j|_H = \sum_{i=1}^s c_{ij}\varphi_i$. Then $\sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^2 = t$.

So $\chi_j(1) = \sum_{i=1}^s c_{ij}\varphi_i(1)$, and

$$s_G = \sum_{j=1}^r \chi_j(1) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}\varphi_i(1).$$

Thus if the S-ring $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is of maximal dimension, then $t = s_G$ and so

$$\sum_{i,j} c_{ij}\varphi_i(1) = s_G = t = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^2.$$

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Karlov: The irreducible $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ -modules have dimension c_{ij} .

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Karloff: The irreducible $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ -modules have dimension c_{ij} .

So: $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is commutative if and only if $c_{ij} = 0, 1$.

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Karlov: The irreducible $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ -modules have dimension c_{ij} .

So: $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is commutative if and only if $c_{ij} = 0, 1$.

If $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is maximal commutative, then $c_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ and also

$$\sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \varphi_i(1) = s_G = t = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^2 = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}.$$

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Karlov: The irreducible $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ -modules have dimension c_{ij} .

So: $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is commutative if and only if $c_{ij} = 0, 1$.

If $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is maximal commutative, then $c_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ and also

$$\sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \varphi_i(1) = s_G = t = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^2 = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}.$$

But $\varphi_i(1) \geq 1$ and so $\varphi_i(1) = 1$ for all φ_i .

$\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ maximal

Karlov: The irreducible $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ -modules have dimension c_{ij} .

So: $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is commutative if and only if $c_{ij} = 0, 1$.

If $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is maximal commutative, then $c_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ and also

$$\sum_{i,j} c_{ij} \varphi_i(1) = s_G = t = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^2 = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}.$$

But $\varphi_i(1) \geq 1$ and so $\varphi_i(1) = 1$ for all φ_i .

Theorem

If $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is commutative of maximal dimension, then H is abelian.

Gelfand pairs

1. $(G, H), H \leq G$, is a *Gelfand pair* if $\langle \chi|_H, 1 \rangle \leq 1$ for all irreducible characters χ of G

Gelfand pairs

1. (G, H) , $H \leq G$, is a *Gelfand pair* if $\langle \chi|_H, 1 \rangle \leq 1$ for all irreducible characters χ of G
2. (G, H) , $H \leq G$, is a *strong Gelfand pair* if $\langle \chi|_H, \varphi \rangle \leq 1$ for all irreducible characters χ of G and all irreducible characters φ of H .

Gelfand pairs

1. $(G, H), H \leq G$, is a *Gelfand pair* if $\langle \chi|_H, 1 \rangle \leq 1$ for all irreducible characters χ of G
2. $(G, H), H \leq G$, is a *strong Gelfand pair* if $\langle \chi|_H, \varphi \rangle \leq 1$ for all irreducible characters χ of G and all irreducible characters φ of H .

Nice fact: $(G, H), H \leq G$, is a strong Gelfand pair if and only if $\mathfrak{S}(G, H)$ is commutative.

Symmetric groups

We note:

$(S_3, \langle(1, 2)\rangle)$ is a strong Gelfand pair realizing s_G .

$(S_4, \langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle)$ is a strong Gelfand pair realizing s_G .

$(S_5, \langle(1, 2, 3)(4, 5)\rangle)$ is a strong Gelfand pair realizing s_G .

Symmetric groups

We note:

$(S_3, \langle(1, 2)\rangle)$ is a strong Gelfand pair realizing s_G .

$(S_4, \langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle)$ is a strong Gelfand pair realizing s_G .

$(S_5, \langle(1, 2, 3)(4, 5)\rangle)$ is a strong Gelfand pair realizing s_G .

Proposition

If $n \geq 6$ and $H \leq S_n$ is an abelian subgroup, then (G, H) is not a Gelfand pair.

In particular, S_n , $n \geq 6$, cannot realize s_G using an S -ring of the form $\mathfrak{S}(S_n, H)$.

Symmetric groups

Proof:

Let $V_\pi = \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = V_{(n-1,1)} \oplus V_{(n)}$ be the permutation module.

Symmetric groups

Proof:

Let $V_\pi = \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = V_{(n-1,1)} \oplus V_{(n)}$ be the permutation module.

1. Let $H \leq S_n$ have k orbits. Then 1_H occurs in $V_{(n-1,1)}$ with multiplicity $k - 1$. So assume $k = 1, 2$.

Symmetric groups

Proof:

Let $V_\pi = \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = V_{(n-1,1)} \oplus V_{(n)}$ be the permutation module.

1. Let $H \leq S_n$ have k orbits. Then 1_H occurs in $V_{(n-1,1)}$ with multiplicity $k - 1$. So assume $k = 1, 2$.
2. If $k = 1$: A transitive abelian subgroup of S_n is regular. Then the action of $H \leq S_n$ on $V_\pi \otimes V_\pi$ has at least n orbits ($v_1 \otimes v_i, i \geq 1$)

Symmetric groups

Proof:

Let $V_\pi = \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = V_{(n-1,1)} \oplus V_{(n)}$ be the permutation module.

1. Let $H \leq S_n$ have k orbits. Then 1_H occurs in $V_{(n-1,1)}$ with multiplicity $k - 1$. So assume $k = 1, 2$.
2. If $k = 1$: A transitive abelian subgroup of S_n is regular. Then the action of $H \leq S_n$ on $V_\pi \otimes V_\pi$ has at least n orbits ($v_1 \otimes v_i, i \geq 1$)
3. If $k = 2$, then $V_{(n-1,1)} \otimes V_{(n-1,1)}$ has at least $n - 1$ orbits.

Symmetric groups

Proof:

Let $V_\pi = \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = V_{(n-1,1)} \oplus V_{(n)}$ be the permutation module.

1. Let $H \leq S_n$ have k orbits. Then 1_H occurs in $V_{(n-1,1)}$ with multiplicity $k - 1$. So assume $k = 1, 2$.

2. If $k = 1$: A transitive abelian subgroup of S_n is regular. Then the action of $H \leq S_n$ on $V_\pi \otimes V_\pi$ has at least n orbits ($v_1 \otimes v_i, i \geq 1$)

3. If $k = 2$, then $V_{(n-1,1)} \otimes V_{(n-1,1)}$ has at least $n - 1$ orbits.

Use:

$$V_\pi \otimes V_\pi = 2V_{(n)} \oplus 3V_{(n-1,1)} \oplus V_{(n-2,1,1)} \oplus V_{(n-2,2)}.$$

Infinite groups

Question 1: What is the largest dimension of a commutative S -ring over S_n .

Infinite groups

Question 1: What is the largest dimension of a commutative S -ring over S_n .

Question 2: What is the largest dimension of a commutative S -ring of the form $\mathfrak{S}(S_n, H)$.

Infinite groups

Question 1: What is the largest dimension of a commutative S -ring over S_n .

Question 2: What is the largest dimension of a commutative S -ring of the form $\mathfrak{S}(S_n, H)$.

Conjecture: when $H = S_{n-2} \times S_2, n > 5$.

Infinite groups

(with Bastian, Brewer, Misseldine and Thompson)

Theorem

Any Schur ring over \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z} \times C_2$ is the set of orbits of a finite subgroup of automorphisms of the group or a wedge product.

Infinite groups

(with Bastian, Brewer, Misseldine and Thompson)

Theorem

Any Schur ring over \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z} \times C_2$ is the set of orbits of a finite subgroup of automorphisms of the group or a wedge product.

More generally: a group is locally infinite-cyclic if any finite non-trivial set generates an infinite cyclic subgroup. e.g. subgroups of \mathbb{Q} .

Infinite groups

(with Bastian, Brewer, Misseldine and Thompson)

Theorem

Any Schur ring over \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z} \times C_2$ is the set of orbits of a finite subgroup of automorphisms of the group or a wedge product.

More generally: a group is locally infinite-cyclic if any finite non-trivial set generates an infinite cyclic subgroup. e.g. subgroups of \mathbb{Q} .

Theorem

Let G be locally infinite-cyclic. Any Schur ring over G or $G \times C_2$ is generated as the orbits of a finite subgroup of automorphisms of the group or a wedge product.

Infinite groups - constructions

Let F_n be a free group of rank n and let $L_k = L_{n,k} = \{x : x \in F_n, |x| = k\}$.

Theorem

The L_k are the principle sets of a Schur ring over F_n . Further, this S-ring is commutative.

Infinite groups - free products

Consider $G = G_1 * G_2 * \cdots * G_k * \dots$, the free product of different (but perhaps pairwise isomorphic) groups.

Infinite groups - free products

Consider $G = G_1 * G_2 * \cdots * G_k * \dots$, the free product of different (but perhaps pairwise isomorphic) groups.

For each i let O_i be an S-ring over G_i .

Infinite groups - free products

Consider $G = G_1 * G_2 * \cdots * G_k * \dots$, the free product of different (but perhaps pairwise isomorphic) groups.

For each i let O_i be an S-ring over G_i .

Let $O_{i,1}, O_{i,2}, \dots, O_{i,d_i}$ be the principle sets of O_i .

Infinite groups - free products

Consider $G = G_1 * G_2 * \cdots * G_k * \dots$, the free product of different (but perhaps pairwise isomorphic) groups.

For each i let O_i be an S-ring over G_i .

Let $O_{i,1}, O_{i,2}, \dots, O_{i,d_i}$ be the principle sets of O_i .

Then a *type* will be a sequence $s = [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_h]$ where $s_i \in \{G_i\}_i$ and $s_i \neq s_{i+1}$.

Infinite groups - free products

Consider $G = G_1 * G_2 * \cdots * G_k * \dots$, the free product of different (but perhaps pairwise isomorphic) groups.

For each i let O_i be an S-ring over G_i .

Let $O_{i,1}, O_{i,2}, \dots, O_{i,d_i}$ be the principle sets of O_i .

Then a *type* will be a sequence $s = [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_h]$ where $s_i \in \{G_i\}_i$ and $s_i \neq s_{i+1}$.

Let \mathcal{L}_s be the set of all elements of the form $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_h$ where w_i is a non-trivial principal element of O_{s_i} . Then:

Theorem

The set $\cup_s \mathcal{L}_s$, where s is a type, is a set of principle elements for a Schur ring over G .

THE END

THE END