

Stabilization Algorithms for Configurations

Sven Reichard

TU Dresden

<2018-07-02 Mo>

Outline

Basics

Binary coherent configurations

Generalization

Numbers, Tuples

- ▶ We identify the natural number n with the set $\{0, \dots, n - 1\}$ of all smaller numbers.
- ▶ If we want to emphasize the “setness” we will write $[n]$ instead of n .
- ▶ Tuples over a set Ω are functions $x:[n] \rightarrow \Omega$
- ▶ As usual we denote the set of all function from A to B by B^A .
- ▶ In particular, the set of all n -tuples of B is $B^{[n]} = B^n$.

Kernels, equivalence relations

- ▶ Given $f : A \rightarrow B$, its kernel is the relation

$$\ker f = \{(x, y) \in A^2 \mid f(x) = f(y)\}.$$

This is an equivalence relation.

Tuples and permutations

- ▶ By $S(A)$ we denote the symmetric group of all permutations of A . Since permutations are functions they act on the left.
- ▶ If $x \in A^n$ and $\sigma \in S([n])$, then $x \circ \sigma$ is the permuted tuple:
 $(x \circ \sigma)(i) = x_{\sigma(i)}$.
- ▶ If x is as above, and $\varphi \in S(A)$, then $\varphi \circ x$ is the coordinatewise image of x under φ :

$$(\varphi \circ x)(i) = \varphi(x_i).$$

So,

$$\varphi \circ x = (\varphi(x_0), \dots, \varphi(x_{n-1})).$$

Refinement of functions

- ▶ For functions $f : A \rightarrow B$, $g : A \rightarrow C$, we say $f \preceq g$ if $\ker f \subseteq \ker g$. In the case of equality we write $f \sim g$. If $B = C$ we get a quasiorder on B^A
- ▶ If B is at most countable, then for any $f:A \rightarrow B$ there is a $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $f \sim g$. So below we can restrict ourselves to functions with codomain \mathbb{N}
- ▶ So we may translate between functions, equivalence relations and partitions

Binary coherent configurations

Introduction

- ▶ We recall the definition and motivation of coherent configurations.
- ▶ Later we will formalize and generalize these notions.

Colorings

- ▶ A k -coloring of Ω is a function

$$r : \Omega^k \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

that assigns to each k -tuple in Ω a color from a set \mathcal{C} .

- ▶ For $k = 2$ we think of a coloring of the edges of the complete graph on Ω .
- ▶ For now we look at the binary case and recall the notion of coherent configurations.

Binary configurations

- ▶ A binary coloring r of Ω is a *configuration* if the following properties hold:
 1. Reflexive pairs and irreflexive pairs do not share colors;
 2. If $r(x, y) = r(x', y')$, then $r(y, x) = r(y', x')$.
- ▶ Some people refer to configurations as rainbows.

Different languages

- ▶ Given a binary coloring r the preimage of each color is a binary relation on Ω .
- ▶ Hence a coloring defines a set of binary relations on Ω such that Ω^2 is its disjoint union.
- ▶ Conversely, any such system of relations defines a coloring.

Configurations as systems of relations

- ▶ In these terms we can define binary configurations as follows:
- ▶ A set S of binary relations on Ω is a configuration if
 - ▶ each relation is reflexive or irreflexive
 - ▶ if $s \in S$ then $s^* \in S$.
- ▶ Here, $s^* = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in s\}$ is the inverse of s .
- ▶ We will switch freely between the languages of colorings and relations

2-homogeneous configurations

- ▶ Let G be a group acting on Ω
- ▶ The orbits of G on Ω^2 form a configuration
- ▶ We say that a configuration is *2-homogeneous* if it “comes from a group”
- ▶ More formally it means that the automorphism group acts transitively on each of the relations (better definition will follow)

Example: C_6

- ▶ Define the following configuration on $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}_6$:
 - ▶ $R_0 = \{(x,x) \mid x \in \Omega\}$
 - ▶ $R_1 = \{(x,y) \mid x-y \in \{1,5\}\}$
 - ▶ $R_2 = \Omega^2 \setminus (R_0 \cup R_1)$
- ▶ This is a configuration.
- ▶ Does it come from a group?

Invariants

- ▶ Given a configuration we may define invariants on pairs of points.
- ▶ For example, we can count triangles of given given colors
 - ▶ Given $(x,y) \in \Omega^2$ and colors i,j , we count

$$\{z \in \Omega \mid r(x,z) = i, r(z,y) = j\}$$

- ▶ In the C_6 example this allows us to distinguish long and short diagonals

Stabilization

- ▶ Such invariants can be used to refine the given coloring
- ▶ Configurations stable under this refinement are called *coherent*
- ▶ 2-homogeneous configurations are always coherent
- ▶ The converse does not hold.

Weisfeiler-Leman

- ▶ Each coloring has a unique "smallest" coherent refinement
- ▶ We call it the *coherent closure*
- ▶ This is in turn refined by the 2-orbits of the automorphism group
- ▶ So we get a "combinatorial approximation" of the automorphism group
- ▶ The coherent closure can be computed in polynomial time, this was first described by Weisfeiler and Leman
- ▶ Several practical implementations were described by Babel, Chuvaeva, Klin, Pasechnik in the 1990's
- ▶ We might see an example of such calculations at the end of the presentation

General configurations

- ▶ We generalize the notion of coherent configurations in several aspects:
 - ▶ Instead of binary configurations we consider arbitrary arity
 - ▶ Instead of triangles we count substructures of arbitrary size.
- ▶ It is often convenient to use the language of colorings
- ▶ But what are useful generalizations of the axioms of configurations?

Plan

- ▶ We look at the defining properties of binary configurations and coherent configurations one by one
- ▶ We try to give “natural” generalizations for colorings of higher arity
- ▶ This will lead objects similar to systems of k -orbits of groups.

Reflexive/irreflexive

- ▶ The first property of binary configurations states that reflexive and irreflexive pairs have different colors
- ▶ Irreflexive pairs have a discrete kernel; reflexive pairs have a trivial kernel
- ▶ So the first condition for a k -ary coloring r is:
 - ▶ If $r(x) = r(y)$, then $\ker(x) = \ker(y)$.

Inverses

- ▶ The second property was: If two pairs have the same color, then the reverse pairs also have the same color
- ▶ For k -tuples we can apply arbitrary permutations:
 - ▶ If $r(x) = r(y)$, and $\sigma \in S_k$, then $r(x \circ \sigma) = r(y \circ \sigma)$

k-ary configurations

- ▶ Let $r : \Omega^k \rightarrow C$ be a k -coloring.
- ▶ We call r a *k-ary configuration* if the following conditions hold:
 - ▶ For $x, y \in \Omega^k$: $r(x) = r(y) \implies \ker(x) = \ker(y)$
 - ▶ For $\sigma \in S_k$, if $r(x) = r(y)$ then $r(x \circ \sigma) = r(y \circ \sigma)$.
- ▶ We call $|\Omega|$ the *order* of r ; k its *arity*, and the cardinality $|r(\Omega^k)|$ of its image the *rank* of r .

Group configurations

- ▶ Let G be a group acting on Ω .
- ▶ For $x \in \Omega^k$ and $g \in G$ we have $g \circ x \in \Omega^k$.
- ▶ This defines an action of G on Ω^k .
- ▶ The orbits of this action form a k -ary configuration $(G, \Omega)^k$
- ▶ For now we call these *group configurations*

Subconfigurations

- ▶ Let r be a k -ary coloring on Ω
- ▶ Let $x \in \Omega^m$ be a tuple
- ▶ Let $x^k : [m]^k \rightarrow \Omega^k$ be the k -fold tupling of x
- ▶ Then $r \circ x^k$ is a k -ary coloring of $[m]$, the coloring r_x induced by x .

Lemma

If r is a configuration and x is one-to-one then r_x is a configuration.

Homomorphisms

- ▶ Let $W_1 = (\Omega_1, C_1, r_1)$ and $W_2(\Omega_2, C_2, r_2)$ be k -ary structures. Let $\varphi : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be a function.
 - ▶ φ is a *weak homomorphism* if for any $x, y \in \Omega_1^k$ we have $r_1(x) = r_1(y) \implies r_2(\varphi(x)) = r_2(\varphi(y))$. We write $\varphi : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$.
 - ▶ φ is a *strong homomorphism* if $r_2 \circ \varphi = r_1$.
- ▶ A bijective strong homomorphism is an isomorphism

Homogeneity

- ▶ Let r be a k -ary configuration.
- ▶ If every isomorphism between subconfigurations of order at most m extends to an automorphism, we say that r is m -homogeneous.
- ▶ More formally: r is m -homogeneous if for any $x, y \in \Omega^m$ with $r_x = r_y$ there is an automorphism σ of r with

$$y = x \circ \sigma$$

Lemma

W is k -homogeneous iff it is a group configuration.

Extensions of vectors

- ▶ Let $n \geq m$, $x \in A^m$, $y \in A^n$. We call y an n -extension of x if they coincide on the first m coordinates, i.e., $x = y|_{[m]}$.
- ▶ Denote the set of all extensions of x by

$$A_x^n = \{y \in A^n \mid y|_{[m]} = x\}$$

- ▶ We denote multisets by using square brackets. E.g.,

$$[x^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}, -2 \leq x \leq 2] = [0, 1, 1, 4, 4].$$

(m,t)-invariant

- ▶ Let $W = (\Omega, C, r)$ be a k -ary configuration.
- ▶ Let $t \geq m \geq k$, let $x \in \Omega^m$.
- ▶ We consider the multiset of configurations induced by all t -extensions of x .

$$W_x^t = [W_y \mid y \in \Omega_x^t]$$

Lemma

This invariant can be computed in polynomial time.

(m,t) -coherent configurations

We say that W is (m, t) -coherent if it is stable under this invariant.

Lemma

If $m' \leq m$ and $t' \leq t$ then any (m, t) -coherent configuration is (m', t') -coherent.

(k,t)-coherent closure

- ▶ Any k-ary configuration has a unique smallest (k,t)-coherent closure.
- ▶ This closure can be computed in time $n^{O(t)}$.
- ▶ This constitutes a Schurian polynomial approximation scheme in the sense of Evdokimov-Ponomarenko, 1999

Connection to other notions of regularity

Lemma

A k -ary configuration is coherent if and only if it is $(k, k + 1)$ -coherent.

- ▶ In particular, classical (binary) coherent configurations are precisely $(2, 3)$ -coherent.
- ▶ Hestenes and Higman introduced the t -vertex condition for graphs to get a stronger combinatorial characterization of rank 3 groups

Lemma

A binary configuration satisfies the t -vertex condition if and only if it is $(2, t)$ -coherent.

Lemma

A k -ary configuration of order n is m -homogeneous if and only if it is (m, n) -coherent.

- ▶ So we have a family of properties for k -ary configurations which subsumes several regularity conditions considered earlier.

Implementation

- ▶ We have an implementation that computes (m,t) -coherent closures
- ▶ It still needs some optimization
- ▶ However it is a working program for this general problem
- ▶ The code will be available at
 - ▶ <http://www.github.com/sven-reichard/stabilization>

Demonstration

- ▶ Classical WL-Stabilization for Möbius ladders
- ▶ (2,4)-stabilization of Shrikhande's graph

Main question

- ▶ Are there (m,t) -coherent configurations which are not m -homogeneous, for large values of m and/or t ?
- ▶ If yes, these should be rare and interesting objects.
- ▶ If not, we have solved the isomorphism problem

A related notion and examples

- ▶ Pech has introduced a similar notion for simple graphs
- ▶ His concept corresponds to (m,t) -coherence of binary configurations with three colors.
- ▶ He gives examples of $(3,7)$ -coherent graphs arising from generalized quadrangles.

Emacs 24.5.1 (Org mode 8.2.10)